



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/870,936

06/06/97

HAMPAPUR

A

VIRAGE.007A

LM02/0118

KNÖBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR
620 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE
SIXTENTH FLOOR
NEWPORT BEACH CA 92660-8016

EXAMINER

RAO, A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2713

DATE MAILED:

01/18/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

RECEIVED
JAN 18 2000
PTO

Interview Summary

Application No.
08/870,386

Applicant(s)
Hamapapur et al.

Examiner
Anand Rao

Group Art Unit
2713

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Anand Rao

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Carson (#34,303)

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jan 14, 2000

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-23 (with particular attention to claims 1, 8, 18, and newly proposed claimed 23).

Identification of prior art discussed:

US Patent 5,635,982 to Zhang et al.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

After discussing the Zhang reference in detail, the proposed limitations of "a structural difference measure based on identified edges..." as in claims 1, 8, 18 and proposed claim 23 which discloses the using "non-accumulated differences..." overcomes the art of record, and would place the application in a favorable condition for allowance if submitted as a formal response after final.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

ANDY RAO
PRIMARY EXAMINER

ANAND RAO
PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 2713

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.